

	Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision	Opinion Number 2-2015	Page Number: 1
ICAOS Advisory Opinion			
Issued by:			
Executive Director: Harry E. Hageman and Chief Legal Counsel: Richard L. Masters			
State Requesting Opinion: Virginia		Dated: 3/12/2015	
Description:			
Whether an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision?			

Background & History:

The Commonwealth of Virginia recently granted a conditional pardon to a convicted offender and ordered him to be transferred to a secure treatment facility in Florida for a period of ten (10) years from his release by the Department of Corrections conditioned upon the successful fulfillment of all treatment recommendations and requirements of the ‘treatment team’ and staff providing his care. Failure to comply with all conditions will result in the loss of all privileges provided under the terms of the conditional pardon and, at the discretion of the Governor, subject the offender to immediate arrest and incarceration to complete the terms of his original sentences. Based upon the above facts and pursuant to Commission Rule 6.101(c), the Commonwealth of Virginia has requested an advisory opinion regarding the requirements of the Compact and ICAOS Rules on the following issue:

Issue:

Whether an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision?

Applicable Rules:

Rule 1.101, in relevant part, provides as follows:

“‘Offender’ means an adult placed under, or made subject to, supervision as the result of the commission of a criminal offense and released to the community under the jurisdiction of courts, paroling authorities, corrections, or other criminal justice agencies, and who is required to request transfer of supervisions under the provisions of the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision.”

“‘Supervision’ means the oversight exercised by authorities of a sending of receiving state over an offender for a period of time determined by a court or releasing authority, during which time

	Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision	Opinion Number 2-2015	Page Number: 2
ICAOS Advisory Opinion Issued by: Executive Director: Harry E. Hageman and Chief Legal Counsel: Richard L. Masters			
State Requesting Opinion: Virginia		Dated: 3/12/2015	
Description: Whether an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision?			

the offender is required to report to or be monitored by supervising authorities, and to comply with regulations and conditions, other than monetary conditions, imposed on the offender at the time of the offender’s release to the community or during the period of supervisions in the community.”

Rule 2.106 provides:

“Rule 2.106 Offenders subject to deferred sentences

Offenders subject to deferred sentences are eligible for transfer of supervision under the same eligibility requirements, terms, and conditions applicable to all other offenders under this compact. Persons subject to supervision pursuant to a pretrial release program, bail, or similar program are not eligible for transfer under the terms and conditions of this compact.”

Analysis and Conclusion:

The Commission has previously opined in ICAOS Advisory Opinion 3-2005 that the placement of an offender in an out of state treatment program may trigger the requirements of the Compact even if the offender is not subject to supervision by corrections officials. In that opinion the Commission determined that an offender who was required to participate in a treatment program in another state was subject to the Compact. It was also noted that even in the absence of direct supervision by corrections officials, a provision in a court order requiring compliance with the terms of treatment constituted “supervision” for purposes of triggering the Compact. The imposition of treatment as a condition of release with the corresponding requirement of adherence to all treatment recommendations and the probability of probation revocation upon failure to comply was sufficient to require the sending state to comply with the Compact and its rules.

Clearly this case involves an a person who has been convicted of not just one, but three separate felonies all of which involved assault and battery on law enforcement officers, including a corrections officer which occurred on 5-31-11, 3-7-14 and 1-8-15. The terms of the conditional

 <p>Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision</p>	<p>Opinion Number 2-2015</p>	<p>Page Number: 3</p>
<p align="center">ICAOS Advisory Opinion Issued by: Executive Director: Harry E. Hageman and Chief Legal Counsel: Richard L. Masters</p>		
<p>State Requesting Opinion: Virginia</p>	<p align="center">Dated: 3/12/2015</p>	
<p>Description: Whether an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision?</p>		

pardon require the Virginia offender to comply with all treatment recommendations for a period of ten (10) years the violation of which will result in the forfeiture of all privileges granted and, at the discretion of the Governor, the offender “shall be subject to immediate incarceration to complete the term of his original sentences.”

While in this case the terms and conditions of release are provided in the conditional pardon issued by the Governor of Virginia, it is equally clear that in Virginia, as in virtually all other states, a person with a conditional pardon remains subject to conditions of release. A conditional pardon does not restore civil rights or rights of citizenship, and the executive, like any other ‘paroling authority’ can revoke the pardon if a person does not comply with the conditions of release. In fact, a person receiving a conditional pardon has the same restrictions as a person on parole. When the conditions are not fulfilled, a conditional pardon or a parole can be revoked and the person violating such conditions can be re-imprisoned.

As the Commission has previously observed in Advisory Opinion 4-2004, “In determining the eligibility of an offender for application of ICAOS one must look not at the legal definitions but rather the actions taken by a court of competent jurisdiction or paroling authorities.” This opinion also concluded that ICAOS Rule 2.106 is applicable to situations in which “. . . the court has lawfully entered a conviction on its records even if it has suspended the imposition of a final sentence and has subjected the offender to a program of conditional release. The rule would also apply where the defendant has entered a plea of guilt or no contest to the charge(s) and the court has accepted the plea but suspended entry of a final judgment of conviction in lieu of placing the offender in a program of conditional release, the successful completion of which may result in the sealing or expungement of any criminal record. Finally, the rule would apply where the court has entered a conviction on the record and sentenced the offender but has suspended execution of the sentence in lieu of a program of conditional release.” (See ICAOS Advisory Opinion 4-2004 at p. 2.)

Because the individual in this case has clearly been convicted of the felonies in question and has been conditionally released, by a paroling authority who in this case happens to be the Governor of the Commonwealth of Virginia, he is clearly an “offender” for purposes of the compact. It

 <p>Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision</p>	<p>Opinion Number 2-2015</p>	<p>Page Number: 4</p>
<p align="center">ICAOS Advisory Opinion Issued by: Executive Director: Harry E. Hageman and Chief Legal Counsel: Richard L. Masters</p>		
<p>State Requesting Opinion: Virginia</p>	<p align="center">Dated: 3/12/2015</p>	
<p>Description: Whether an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision?</p>		

also appears that the terms of the conditional pardon satisfy the requirements of ‘supervision’ under the compact. The conditional pardon provides for oversight to be exercised over the offender by the secure treatment facility, or in a less restrictive environment, for a fixed period of ten (10) years. Compliance with treatment conditions are required the violation of which will result in incarceration for the completion of the sentences previously imposed.

Summary:

Based upon the terms of the Compact, the above referenced rules and the legal authorities cited herein, an offender who has been granted a conditional pardon in the Commonwealth of Virginia and is transferred to a secure treatment facility in the State of Florida is eligible for transfer of supervision under the Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision.