



**Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision  
Executive Committee Meeting**

**MINUTES**

**March 13, 2018**

**Secretariat B, 1st Floor · The Brown Hotel · Louisville, Kentucky**

---

**Members in Attendance:**

- |                       |  |
|-----------------------|--|
| 1. Sara Andrews       | Chair, OH  |
| 2. Jeremiah Stromberg | Vice-Chair, OR   |
| 3. Charles Lauterbach | Treasurer, IA  |
| 4. Gary Roberge       | Information Technology Chair, CT ( <i>Telephonically</i> )   |
| 5. Chris Moore        | South Region Chair, GA                                       |
| 6. Jane Seigel        | Rules Committee Chair, IN                                    |
| 7. Allen Godfrey      | Compliance Committee Chair, MN                               |
| 8. Anne Precythe      | Training, Education and Public Relations Committee Chair, MO |
| 9. Shawn Arruti       | West Region Chair, NV  |
| 10. Doug Clark        | Midwest Region Chair, SD                                     |
| 11. Dale Crook        | East Region Chair, VT  |
| 12. Pat Tuthill       | Victims Ex-Officio   |
| 13. Rick Masters      | Legal Counsel  |

**Members not in Attendance:**

- |             |                             |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. [Vacant] | DCA Liaison Committee Chair |
|-------------|-----------------------------|

**Staff:**

1. Ashley Lippert, Executive Director
2. Allen Eskridge, Policy and Operations Director
3. Barno Saturday, Logistics and Administrative Coordinator
4. Kevin Terry, Website Analyst
5. Mindy Spring, Administrative and Training Coordinator
6. Xavier Donnelly, ICOTS Project Manager

**Call to Order**

Commissioner S. Andrews (OH) called the meeting to order at 8:30 am ET; eleven voting members were present, establishing a quorum.

**Agenda**

**Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) moved to approve the agenda as presented. Commissioner D. Crook (VT) seconded. Agenda approved.**

**Minutes**

**Commissioner D. Crook (VT) moved to approve the minutes from January 9, 2018 as presented. Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) seconded. Minutes approved.**

## **Discussion**

*Rules Committee Report:* Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) stated that the Rules Committee met on February 8 and discussed revising sex-offender rules. The Rules Committee asked all Region and DCA Region chairs to discuss and collect any issues with the sex-offender definition and the transfer of sex-offenders rules in their Regions for the Rules Committee to consider. Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) stated that she had already received feedback from a judge in New Mexico.

*Training Committee Report:* Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) informed the Committee that the Training Committee met on January 29. The Training Committee collaborated with the DCA Liaison Committee by inviting the DCA Region chairs to be part of Training Committee meetings. The DCA Region chairs will represent DCAs and communicate any training needs and issues raised at their DCA Region meetings.

The Training Committee also discussed the ABM Planning Workgroup's recommendations for the DCA Training Institute. The Training Committee asked the DCA Region chairs to discuss the proposed topics at their DCA region meetings over the next few months and identify those most relevant and beneficial for the DCA Training Institute's workshops. The Committee plans to finalize the agenda by mid-summer.

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) informed the Committee that the Commission's Benchbook was drafted a number of years ago. It is a valuable document that is used by many states on daily basis. The Training Committee asked for Regions' feedback on revising the content of this document by making it more user friendly along with recommendations for individuals suited to complete this project.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that the national office planned to build a mobile version of the Benchbook that would be searchable and correspond with advisory opinions.

The Executive Committee discussed involving Mike Buenger, original author of Benchbook, in revisiting the Benchbook content.

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) informed the Committee that some field staff members were circumventing their Compact Office and seeking technical support directly from the national office. The national office has limited staff and cannot designate time for these calls. She stated that the callers were shopping for the most suitable answer.

ICOTS Project Manager X. Donnelly stated that he regularly received inquiries through the Help Desk. Most of the time, he redirected them to their state Compact Office. If the inquirer was from a Compact Office, he responded to them directly. Most of the cases were related to training issues. He urged field staff to contact their DCA especially if they had a technical issue.

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) emphasized the necessity of reminding states that all communication and technical and training requests made to the national office by field staff must be funneled through their DCA.

Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) suggested adding this issue to the discussion topics list for the DCA Training Institute in October 2018.

The Committee asked the Region Chairs to include this issue at their region meetings.

*Technology Committee Report:* Commissioner G. Roberge (CT) presented his report to the Committee.

The second ICOTS enhancement release was launched in January 2018. That release included counts for NCIC offenses, a confirmation screen for adding criminal cases, and a reason for rejection on the transfer reply. Appriss continues to meet with the national office every week to coordinate upcoming releases with the need to conduct UAT, create training materials, and draft announcements if needed. The third enhancement release was launched in February 2018, and included electronic tracking of acceptance of the ICOTS User Agreement and Privacy Policy.

Commissioner G. Roberge (CT) stated that the electronic tracking of acceptance of the ICOTS User Agreement and Privacy Policy improved ICOTS security and record management. Initially, some Compact Offices received an overwhelming number of emails when their users were logging in to ICOTS accounts and accepting their User Agreements. The national office provided information to prepare the states for this enhancement. The next release is scheduled for April 2018.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that the national office received no bugs associated with the ICOTS Privacy Policy Release that involved thirty thousand users. She was pleased with the Appriss developers assigned to the ICAOS project.

ICAOS Project Manager X. Donnelly added that it was unprecedented for no bugs to be reported after three major releases.

Commissioner G. Roberge (CT) informed the Committee that the national office and SEARCH completed migrating the fusion center data sharing server to one ICAOS owns and operates.

The Technology Committee is scheduled to review and update its future enhancements list at its next meeting.

Commissioner G. Roberge (CT) informed the Committee that the national office engaged a developer to create an application that automatically evaluates ICOTS offender photos. The software analyzes ICOTS photos based on a set of conditions that correspond to the photo quality criteria in the ICOTS Privacy Policy. Appriss provided a photo dump of 38K photos. The final photo audit results and first quarter compliance review will go to states the first of April.

Executive Director A. Lippert noted that by incorporating this software in ICOTS, future photo audits would be much simpler.

The Committee reviewed an IVINS usage document provided by the national office.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that as of March 2018, 459 ICOTS offenders with active cases, or 0.4% of the total, have an IVINS indicator. The total number offenders marked “Victim Sensitive” was 14,310, meaning 12.4% of active ICOTS offenders require victim notification. Of those ICOTS offenders marked “Victim Sensitive”, 3.2% used IVINS for notifications. This assumes roughly 97% of active ‘Victim Sensitive’ cases used another notification process.

Executive Director A. Lippert noted that since self-registration opened, no new states had accessed IVINS. In 2017, eleven states were using or testing IVINS. That remains the same in 2018 (Connecticut, Hawaii, North Carolina, New Mexico, Ohio, Delaware, Georgia, Virginia, Florida, Iowa, and Minnesota.)

There are 163 new registrations created using an anonymous profile. This includes testing and requests entered on closed profiles, which would not generate a notification.

The total cost to date for IVINS is \$223,200.

Executive Director A. Lippert listed other notable concerns with IVINS:

- Offenders or their families registering as a victim and creating public safety concerns
- IVINS has too many notifications, which are confusing to victims (three states have reported victims asking to withdraw from IVINS)
- States already have a victim notification system in place
- IVINS users lack understanding of the Compact, thus not understanding the notifications
- Compact Offices and Victim Representatives do not have staff to manage or support IVINS
- State agencies must coordinate efforts, and possess an understanding of the Compact
- Confusion between what IVINS does and what VINE does, thus victims do not want to register for another system
- Confusion regarding the system’s support and who to contact with questions
- Lack of training on how to use IVINS

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that the system was not doing what it was originally intended to do – to have all states be compliant with the victims’ notification Rule. She expressed concern that victim notification was not the national office’s expertise and the liability concerns associated with IVINS misuse or inaccuracy. R. Masters concurred with the liability concerns and potential accountability for the Commission. She advised that it was taking 60% of X. Donnelly’s time to manage IVINS with only 11 states using the system.

Training Coordinator M. Spring mentioned that states did not understand the registration and usage process and many states still use their Victims Representatives to register their victims for

this system. In Kansas and Virginia many victims had opted out of the system because there were too many notifications and they caused confusion.

Victims Advocate P. Tuthill noted that states did not utilize IVINS because they did not know about the system. She suggested commissioners be more involved in promoting the information about IVINS and utilizing this system in their state.

Executive Director A. Lippert noted potential public safety concern with IVINS anonymous notifications should an offender register to receive them. She mentioned a North Carolina case where an offender received a violation report notification when he anonymously registered for his own IVINS notifications and subsequently threatened his parole officer at the encounter. As a result, Appriss disabled the above notification.

Victims Representative P. Tuthill suggested providing more training to the states. She urged the Commission to push this application into operation.

Executive Director A. Lippert noted that the national office posted a document explaining the difference between IVINS and VINE and a step-by-step guide on how to register in IVINS on the Commission's website.

Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) stated that the notice of arrival provided a false sense of security.

Commissioner D. Crook (VT) stated that the Commission is looking for a solution to a problem that it does not have. The other numbers showed that 97% active 'Victim Sensitive' cases used another notification process.

Executive Director A. Lippert indicated that any formal decision should be made by the full Commission at the Annual Business Meeting. She noted that in December 2018, the IVINS contract expires and if the Commission decides to opt out of IVINS, it needs to inform Appriss 60 day prior to the contract's end date.

Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) requested the Technology Committee to make a recommendation on the matter.

**Commissioner D. Crook (VT) moved to request the Technology Committee to make a recommendation on continuing with the IVINS contract at the May Executive Committee meeting. Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) seconded. Motion passed.**

*Compliance Committee Report:* Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) stated that the Compliance Committee discussed developing and enhancing compliance processes at the state level. The Committee agreed that the new dashboards could be used as a tool for states that want to be proactive in measuring their compliance. The Committee encourages states to be aware of what was going on throughout the state.

To promote the compliance dialog on the national level, the Compliance Committee asked the Regions to gather top five measurable public safety compliance factors.

Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) noted that warrants were a big issue in Minnesota. The MN Compact Office is looking into a process to gather data elements to identify a list of offenders for internal warrant tracking.

Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) asked the Executive Committee members where they stand on the concept of self-regulation and how this concept fits in with the Compliance Committee.

Commissioner D. Crook (VT) noted that the Commission was looking for problems where there were no problems. He also stated that every state should have self-regulation as default of its operation.

Commissioner C. Moore (GA) stated that it was good for states to be proactive, however, sometimes it could be an overkill by giving the states additional workload.

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) stated that the main Compliance Committee goal was to solve disagreements between states. She suggested letting the states to use the Compliance Dashboard for self-evaluation.

Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) stated that sometimes the Dashboard data is not accurate. He suggested letting DCA evaluate themselves, rather than doing it through Commission.

Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) thinks there is place for both self-audit and Commission based audit. She noted that a periodic Compact audit motivated her staff. She stated that some areas need to be cleaned up. She urged to focus on accurate Dashboard data used for audits.

ICOTS Project Manager X. Donnelly informed the Committee about corrupted ICOTS files that were uploaded to Compliance Dashboard and resulted in incorrect data. The national office is working with Appriss on putting data checks in place and pushing toward a 24 hr data update.

Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) stated that it was not only about the accuracy of the data, but also about encouraging states to look at their practices and inspiring responsibility across all states and regions.

*Finance Committee Report:* Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) stated that the Commission was in good financial shape summarizing the Commission's current bank and investment holdings. As of January, the Commission is 2% under the budget.

Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) informed the Committee that the Commission has 1,678K in stocks and bonds funds of Vanguard long term investments. Since June 30, 2017, the Commission made \$165K on those funds. In the previous year, the Commission made \$197K on its investments.

Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) stated that initially, the Executive Committee wanted to maintain 60 to 40% stock and bond ratio. He was concerned about the current 67% and 33% stock and bond ratio.

The Committee instructed Executive Director A. Lippert to rebalance the Commission's Vanguard account.

Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) presented FY 2020 budget to the Committee.

**Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) moved to approve FY 2020 Budget. Commissioner D. Crook (VT) seconded. Motion passed.**

*ABM Workgroup Report:* Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) reported on the Workgroup's findings and recommendation from its face-to-face meeting on January 23 in Napa, California. Seventeen people attended the meeting.

The Committee reviewed the proposed 2018 Annual Business Meeting agenda.

The Committee reviewed the DCA Training Institute agenda scheduled for Monday, October 1. The agenda consisted of welcome & introduction, morning ice-breaking activity, and *Travel through the life of a case* training session. The Committee added *Victim Notification* and *Stakeholders/State Councils – why they are important* discussions to the agenda.

The Tuesday agenda consisted of opening remarks, region meetings, presentations on various topics, and a reception. The Committee decided to invite Dr. Angela Hawkins to present on *Policy and Practices in Corrections* for the first presentation. Dr. Hawkins does not charge a speaker fee. The second presentation would be on *Verification of Treatment Facilities - False treatment centers exploiting addicts for insurance fraud and sex trafficking* presented by Florida officials. The Committee invited Dr. Jamie Brower or Kirsten Lewis to present on *Personal Wellness among Agents* for the third presentation.

The Committee decided against *Generational Differences* presentation by Phil Gwoke due to a costly speaker fee of \$10K.

The Committee reviewed the Wednesday agenda. In addition to standard items, the agenda included 45 min long face-to-face committee meetings and *Best Practices and Results of Opioid Initiative* panel with Judge O. Duane Slone, Fourth Circuit Court Tennessee and Stephen Loyd, M.D., Associate Professor of Medicine, East Tennessee State University. Commissioner S. Andrews (OH) stated that Judge Slone was chairing the Regional Judicial Opioid Initiative (RJOI) involving eight states and was an excellent choice for this panel.

Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) stated that the face-to-face committee meetings would give Commissioners an opportunity to see the Committees in action.

Commissioner S. Andrews (OH) and Vice-Chair J. Stromberg announced their plans to run on a ticket again this fall.

Commissioner C. Lauterbach (IA) will retire next April and did not plan to run for Treasurer.

Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) informed the Committee that she will retire on April 30, 2018 but will continue to serve as the Commissioner until the end of this year.

*East Region Report:* Commissioner D. Crook (VT) stated that the East Region meeting was scheduled for March 27, 2018. The Region had a robust agenda.

*Midwest Region Report:* Commissioner D. Clark (SD) stated that the Midwest Region meeting was scheduled for March 22, 2018. The Region will discuss out of state subpoenas, top five measurable public safety compliance factors, issues with the sex-offender and the transfer of sex-offenders rules, the Benchbook's content, and 2019 Rule Proposals from the Midwest Region.

*South Region Report:* Commissioner C. Moore (GA) stated that the South Region met on January 18 to discussion its mission and goals, subpoenas, and ABM agenda recommendations for the ABM Planning Workgroup. The South Region will meeting again in April 2018.

*West Region Report:* Commissioner S. Arruti (NV) stated that the West Region met on February 26. The Region discussed the top public safety compliance factors, transfer of sex-offenders, revisiting Benchbook, 2019 rule proposals, upcoming compliance audit, and the new rules that went in effect on March 1.

The Region also discussed a formation of an ad hoc committee to look at the issue of supervision in tribal regions and development of best practices. Commissioner S. Arruti (NV) stated that Interstate Commission for Juveniles published an advisory opinion on *Applicability and enforceability of the rules of the Interstate Compact for Juveniles with sovereign tribal nations and reservation lands* in 2010. Based on this opinion the Compact had no authority where tribal lands were concerned. The West Region decided to open the West Region's advantage of collective experience to the other Regions and requested the Executive Committee to form a workgroup to explore best practices on dealing with transfers to tribal land.

The Executive Committee approved the West Region's request.

*Executive Director Report:* Executive Director A. Lippert reported on a commissioner vacancy in Texas and recently appointed commissioners in Pennsylvania, Linda Rosenberg; Rhode Island, Patricia A. Coyne-Fague; and Hawaii, Dwight Sakai.

Executive Director A. Lippert informed the Committee that its bank account's promotional interest rate had expired and the national office was revisiting this matter.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that the national office had been reviewing personnel policies and its vendor agreements resulting in \$1,850 savings.

The first financial audit of the Commission since disaffiliating from the Council of State Governments will begin in July. Executive Director A. Lippert anticipates a lengthy initial audit as processes are established. She hopes to complete it in time for publishing the Annual Report prior to the Annual Business Meeting.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that while only operational for three months, usage of the upgraded ICAOS Dashboards already showed insight into how they were performing compared to the old reports and dashboards. The overall usage showed an increase of 92.8% compared to the old reports. Not only did the number of sessions go up significantly, but the average time each user spent in a session also increased by 18.9%. Three months was a small data sample, but the initial trends showed a marked increase in overall usage of the new ICAOS Dashboards. Annually, the national office will be able to produce more useful insights from the usage statistics.

Executive Director A. Lippert presented options for FY 2019 Compliance Audit.

Option 1:

Continue with same standards (6 dashboards) and increase levels for compliance: > 80%

Option 2:

Conduct 'quality assessment':

- Violation Reports Requiring Retaking
  - Violation reports used exclusively to invoke and manage retaking
    - Reports & Addendums used appropriately
    - PC established and documented

Option 3:

User Administration Audit

- Policy & Procedures, Training, etc. Provide snapshot of who's accessing ICOTS
  - Why excessive numbers of compact staff and admins exist in some states?
  - Copy of written procedures/policies
  - Training Plan for first time users or compact staff/admins
  - ICOTS users are deactivated if haven't accessed the system within 6 months

Option 4:

Warrant Review

- Ensure states are issuing timely warrants for retaking and provide process for issuing/tracking in retaking cases (for example, do they require users to upload copy in ICOTS or manage outside of ICOTS)
  - a. Six month snapshot where states verify warrant was issued OR
  - b. Ask states to provide copies of warrants in cases 'awaiting retaking'. *(Will likely require clean-up of cases)*
- Based on the time stamp for 'availability' and response of 'warrant' by sending state, are Case Closure Notices being sent within 30 days. *(Assumes the receiving state is using the violation tools appropriately. Will likely require clean-up of cases.)*
- Average timeframe for case closure:
  - a. 30 days as required (Rule 5.105) OR
  - b. If order to return is selected, is the 'Date to Return' within 15 days (Rule 5.103)

Commissioner A. Precythe favored User Administration Audit as well as Violation Reports Requiring Retaking. She was not sure if the states had enough good data to audit their warrants process.

Executive Director A. Lippert stated that usually she sends a letter sometime in May informing member states about the upcoming audit. In this case, states have plenty of time to prepare for the upcoming audit.

Commissioner S. Arruti (NV) was also interested in the User Administration Audit. He noted that the Commission had never done a staffing study and it would be interested to see how compact offices operate in different states.

Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) spoke for proceeding with User Administration with a Warrants audit the following year.

**Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) moved to proceed with User Administration Audit with the lead in of Warrants audits for FY 2019 Compliance Audit. Commissioner D. Clark (SD) seconded. Motion passed.**

Executive Director A. Lippert informed the Committee that per Administrative Policy 03-2009 member states were required to submit their State Council membership and meeting information to the national office by January of every year. The policy was to ensure compliance with the Compact Statute that requires each member state or territory to maintain a State Council. Six states indicated they were in the process of making appointments or show numerous vacancies in their State Council roster and six other states did not have a State Council or did not report.

**Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) moved for Legal Counsel to send a letter to states in noncompliance with AP 03-2009. Commissioner S. Arruti (NV) seconded. Motion passed.**

At the last Executive Committee meeting, the Committee revisited Committees' structure and missions to make them more proactive.

**Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) moved to approve new Committee and Region goals and missions as amended. Commissioner A. Godfrey (MN) seconded. Motion passed.**

Executive Director A. Lippert informed the Committee about the DCA Liaison Committee Chair vacancy. She stated that the Committee can reappoint another Commissioner to fill this position, absorb the DCA Liaison Committee into another Committee, or appoint a DCA to lead the DCA Liaison Committee and serve as an Ex-Officio of the Executive Committee.

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) spoke in favor of a DCA serving on the Executive Committee as an Ex-Officio. She stated that DCAs feel unrepresented in the Commission.

The Committee decided the nominations should come from the Regions. The DCA Region Chairs could step down from their position and run for the DCA Liaison Committee Chair position. One person could not hold both positions.

Legal Counsel R. Masers suggested amending the Bylaws for clarity reasons.

The Committee discussed the make of the Committee consisting of the ex-officio person of this table and four DCA Region chairs.

Executive Director A. Lippert will send an email informing the Commission of the revised position of DCA Liaison Committee Chair. Interested candidates can submit their nominations through their Regions.

**Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) moved to proceed with the recommendation of the DCA Liaison Committee Chair being a Deputy Compact Administrator without voting privileges. Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) seconded. Motion passed.**

At the last Executive Committee meeting, the Committee reviewed venues for 2019 Annual Business Meeting. The Committee found the San Diego location met the Commission's requirements, but expressed concerns with the available dates, which coincide with the Jewish holiday Yom Kippur or Halloween. The Committee instructed the Executive Director to poll the states on whether these dates would prevent attendance.

Executive Director A. Lippert reported to the Committee that she received multiple emails from commission members stating that they would not be available during Halloween. She did not receive any concerns about having a meeting during Yom Kippur.

Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) expressed her concern that some people did not speak out about their inability to attend the Annual Business Meeting during Yom Kippur.

**Commissioner D. Crook (VT) moved to proceed with San Diego, CA as the location for the 2019 Annual Business meeting with Oct 8-9, 2019 dates. Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) seconded. Motion passed.**

The Committee opened discussion for personnel matters.

**Commissioner D. Crook (VT) moved to enter executive session. Commissioner C. Moore (GA) seconded. Motion passed.**

**Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) moved to exit executive session. Commissioner S. Arruti (NV) seconded. Motion passed.**

**Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) moved to approve a 2.1% cost of living adjustment for all national office staff. Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) seconded. Motion passed.**

*Legal Counsel Report:* Legal Counsel R. Masters informed the Committee that he recently assisted the Interstate Commission for Juveniles (ICJ) in revision of Benchbook and suggested the Commission to correspond with ICJ about its revised Benchbook when revising its own Benchbook.

He informed the Committee about *Packingham v. North Carolina* (2017) decision by a US Supreme Court holding that a North Carolina statute that prohibited sex offenders from accessing social media websites violated the First Amendment to the US Constitution. Packingham was charged under North Carolina's statute that prevented registered sex offenders from using social media sites. Challenged in state courts, Packingham argued that the statute violated his First Amendment rights, and eventually sought the US Supreme Court to hear the case.

The Supreme Court ruled the North Carolina statute unconstitutional, and that social media — defined broadly enough to include Facebook, is considered a "protected space" under the First Amendment for lawful speech.

Legal Counsel R. Masters noted that this case had some implication for the Compact work and needed to be reviewed by the Rules Committee.

*Victims' Advocate Report:* Victims' Advocate P. Tuthill presented her report to the Committee.

Victims' Advocate P. Tuthill collaborated with New York on guide for Victim Services Notification authorities in all states. She reached out to the VINE Administrators (Vine Advisory Council) to discuss unique notifications requirements of the Compact, which differed from victim notification events when offenders remain in state where offense and conviction occurred.

Victims' Advocate P. Tuthill is working with Florida Vine Administrator, Lisa Kinard, to develop training for all stakeholders in Florida to understand and use IVINS. Her goal is to share results of New York guide and Florida training for victim notification authorities in all states.

The National Association of Victim Assistance in Corrections (NAVAC) requested P. Tuthill to present on Compact and IVINS at its annual conference June 14, 2018.

Victims' Advocate P. Tuthill informed the Committee that she was a member of Project Advisory Board with seven other victim services professionals to develop a web-based Best Practices Post-Conviction Victim Services Toolkit between now and September 2020. The project is funded by the Office of Victims of Crime.

Commissioner S. Andrews (OH) asked Victims Advocate P. Tuthill to send a copy of NAVAC presentation to the national office.

### **Old Business**

*Ad hoc on Risk Assessment:* Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) stated that the ad hoc Committee explored the feasibility of incorporating risk principles in the interstate compact transfer process.

The ad hoc Committee recommended sharing state's individual risk assessment results in ICOTS. Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) stated that there was not enough energy to move forward with this recommendation. He noted that it was preferred to look at some of the outcome measures, such as looking at the larger recidivism study and its success rate. He acknowledged that the Committee would rely on states' data in this study.

Commissioner D. Crook (VT) stated that everyone had different levels and definition of recidivism in their states.

The Committee decided to suspend the ad hoc Committee at this time. The Executive Committee plans to reevaluate the recidivism issue after the Annual Business Meeting with a possibility of creating a workgroup.

### **New Business**

Commissioner A. Precythe (MO) inquired how to deal with life-time sex-offenders who were on supervision by statute, but in reality could not be supervised passed certain number of years.

Commissioner J. Seigel (IN) indicated that the Rules Committee planned to review the issue this year.

### **Adjourn**

**Commissioner D. Crook (VT) moved to adjourn. Commissioner J. Stromberg (OR) seconded.**

The meeting adjourned at 3:06 pm ET.