



# ICAOS

...Ensuring Public Safety for the 21st Century



## Annual Report

Interstate Commission for Adult Offender Supervision



**Table of Contents**

Letter from the Executive Director ... 2

Member States ..... 2

General Counsel ..... 3

Victims' Advocate .... 3

Regions ..... 4

Committees..... 6

Budget ..... 9

Dues Assessment .. 11



In June 2002, thirty five states approved legislation for adoption of a new compact for the supervision of adult offenders. The initial meeting of the state Commissioners took place in Scottsdale, Arizona on November 18-20, 2003. Bylaws and Transition Rules were adopted, Committees established, a budget and fee structure approved, and officers elected.

The Commissioners had one year to draft rules and establish the function of each committee. A tremendous challenge lay before us.

Before the 2nd annual meeting, 45 states adopted the new compact and each participated in defining the duties and responsibilities of established committees. The Rules Committee worked tirelessly to develop rules for the Compact prior to the 2nd annual meeting. The committees for Information Technology, Training Education and Public Relations, Compliance, and Finance also completed their work expeditiously.

I realize there is a great deal of work yet to be done. With three states and some territories still not signatories to the Compact. Further, training must be completed on the new rules, compliance protocols must establish how the rules will be enforced, and the development of an offender tracking system.

There is one issue that I feel has been most important in the infancy of the Compact, and that is communication. While we may not all agree on every issue, it is apparent to me after two national meetings, and serving as your Chair, that each Commissioner is truly committed to making the Compact work. It has been an honor to work with each of the Commissioners in the development of the new Compact and to serve as its first chair.

*G. David Guntharp, Chair  
Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision*



Having been involved in the new compact since its inception, it is difficult for me not to reflect on how far we have progressed in such a short period of time. It has been amazing to watch this concept evolve from flipcharts to reality. Since the first commission meeting in November 2002, the commission has worked very hard to bring to fruition the plan incorporated in the compact.

Committees are established and they have begun to labor on essential projects. During the first twelve months, the Rules committee drafted the standard upon which the compact will operate. The Technology committee has endeavored to develop a database system that will enable the compact activities to be accomplished electronically and provide a tracking mechanism for adult offenders under the compact. With the beginning of 2004, the Training and Education committee is aggressively pursuing the means by which they can educate all state officials that interact with the compact.

As the commission and the compact move forward, I am confident we will see the fruits of our labors manifest themselves in improved public safety, increased victim input and an enforcement mechanism built upon cooperation and a commitment to make this agreement succeed.

The national office dedicates its efforts to assist the Commission in accomplishing its purpose.

*Don Blackburn, Executive Director  
Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision*

**Member States and  
Commissioners:**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Alabama:</b> Jim Cotton                  | <b>New Jersey:</b> John D'Amico             |
| <b>Alaska:</b> Leitoni M. Tupou             | <b>New Mexico:</b> Edward Gonzales          |
| <b>Arizona:</b> Mary Schamer                | <b>New York:</b> Edward Mruczek             |
| <b>Arkansas:</b> G. David Guntharp          | <b>North Carolina:</b> Sherry H. Pilkington |
| <b>California:</b> Sharon Jackson           | <b>North Dakota:</b> Warren R. Emmer        |
| <b>Colorado:</b> Jeaneene Miller            | <b>Ohio:</b> Harry Hageman                  |
| <b>Connecticut:</b> Michael L. Mullen       | <b>Oklahoma:</b> Milton R. Gilliam          |
| <b>Delaware:</b> Stan Taylor                | <b>Oregon:</b> Ginger Martin                |
| <b>Dist. of Columbia:</b> Paul Quander, Jr. | <b>Pennsylvania:</b> Benjamin Martinez      |
| <b>Florida:</b> Hieteenthia Hayes           | <b>Rhode Island:</b> Ashbel T. Wall, II     |
| <b>Georgia:</b> Joe Kuebler                 | <b>South Carolina:</b> D. Ann Hyde          |
| <b>Hawaii:</b> Ron Hajime                   | <b>South Dakota:</b> Ed Ligtenberg          |
| <b>Idaho:</b> Dave Nelson                   | <b>Tennessee:</b> Jim Cosby                 |
| <b>Illinois:</b> Vivian Williams            | <b>Texas:</b> Kathie Winckler               |
| <b>Indiana:</b> Jane Seigel                 | <b>Utah:</b> Leo Lucey                      |
| <b>Iowa:</b> Lowell Brandt                  | <b>Vermont:</b> Jacqueline Kotkin           |
| <b>Kansas:</b> Robert Sanders               | <b>Washington:</b> Doreen Geiger            |
| <b>Kentucky:</b> Hazel M. Combs             | <b>West Virginia:</b> Jim Rubenstein        |
| <b>Louisiana:</b> Genie Powers              | <b>Wisconsin:</b> William Rankin            |
| <b>Maine:</b> Martin Magnussen              | <b>Wyoming:</b> Stephen C. Lindly           |
| <b>Maryland:</b> Judith Sachwald            |   |
| <b>Michigan:</b> Dennis Schrantz            |   |
| <b>Minnesota:</b> Joan Fabian               |   |
| <b>Missouri:</b> Denis Agniel               |   |
| <b>Montana:</b> Mike Ferriter               |   |
| <b>Nebraska:</b> Ed Birkel                  |   |
| <b>Nevada:</b> Amy Wright                   |   |

**ICAOS General Counsel**

Rick Masters

The General Counsel's Office assists the commission by providing legal guidance to the Interstate Commission and its committees with respect to legal issues that arise in the conduct of their responsibilities under the terms of the Compact, its Bylaws and administrative rules. The provisions of the Compact specifically authorize formal legal opinions concerning the meaning or interpretation of the actions of the Interstate Commission and are issued through the Office of General Counsel. These formal advisory opinions are available for guidance to state officials who administer the compact. The General Counsel's office also works with the Commission and member states to promote consistent application of and compliance with the compact's requirements.

Rick Masters is the first General Counsel to the Commission. Rick is a former Assistant Attorney General for the State of Kentucky and was the principal draftsman of the model compact language and has considerable experience in consultation, research and writing concerning interstate compacts and constitutional law issues. He recently co-authored a law review article providing a review of the general legal principles concerning interstate compacts and a legal analysis of the Interstate Compact on Adult Offender Supervision.

**ICAOS Victims Advocate**

Pat Tuthill

The ICAOS bylaws state that the purpose of the compact is to provide a framework for the promotion of public safety and to protect the rights of victims through the control and regulation of the interstate movement of offenders in the community. In addition to a commissioner from each state, ex-officio representatives are also members of the Commission. As the victim's ex-officio member of the commission, I also serve on several committees; executive, rules, drafting, and training.

During the first eighteen months of the compact, victims played a major role in the development of rules. We expressed our concerns, participated in discussions and made recommendations. The commissioners heard us, just as governors and legislators heard us when we campaigned and testified for passage of the new compact. Rules have been made with consideration, and recommendations have been given by state council victims' representatives, victim advocates, victim assistance program directors, the American Parole and Probation Association victims' issues committee as well as other victim organizations. Our voices were heard when we told how victims, families and communities live in fear of offenders re-victimizing them or threatening public safety.

Commissioners demonstrated commitment to public safety and victims during the rulemaking process, even when faced with many challenges. They established rules for victims to be notified and to have the right to comment and be heard. Significant legislation is now in place that directly affects the quality of life for all of us, and possibly make a difference in life and death. Victims can transform the process as policymakers as we learn to collaborate for the protection of all.

**WEST REGION**

**Alaska  
Arizona  
California  
Colorado  
Hawaii  
Idaho  
Montana  
Nevada  
New Mexico  
Oregon  
Utah  
Washington  
Wyoming**

Region Chair:  
Leo Lucey, UT



The western region's main focus has been the creation of state compact councils. Nevada, Oregon and Arizona are struggling with getting this in place. Other states either have their councils in place or are very close.

A region-wide conference call was held following the first Executive Committee meeting. A second call was held in January 2004. The region remains concerned with the misdemeanor issue and how informal or court probation cases will be handled.

All western states are planning on attending the Commission training in Scottsdale Arizona on March 14-16, 2004. All states are ready to begin training on the new compact rules.

**MIDWEST REGION**

**Illinois  
Indiana  
Iowa  
Kansas  
Michigan  
Minnesota  
Nebraska  
North Dakota  
Ohio  
South Dakota  
Wisconsin**

Region Chair:  
Ed Ligtenberg, SD



Michigan's state council met in December 2003 and continues to fill membership positions. A report is completed on the National Commission Meeting in which several compact issues were discussed. The next state council meeting is set for early 2004.

Ohio is finalizing training materials and plans to hold quarterly training sessions.

Ohio is also reengineering their computer applications for the compact office. The state commission plans to meet in the spring of 2004.

Members of the South Dakota state council have been appointed and held three meetings with their next meeting in January 2004. Staff is in the initial phase of training on the new compact rules.

Kansas' state council members have been introduced to the approved rules and future training for staff. A proposal is being considered to put an application fee in place for the interstate compact with the revenue used to increase staffing levels in the compact office.

Minnesota's state council meets quarterly, their first meeting was held in 2002, they have been briefed on the progress of the new compact.

Wisconsin's Interstate Adult Offender Supervision Board held its initial meeting in May 2003, with their next meeting scheduled for January 2004. The appointment of Board members is almost complete and the state commissioner has been appointed.

Indiana passed the legislation to join the compact, and held its first state council meeting in October 2003. Nebraska and Iowa state council members are in the process of being appointed, and Iowa's first meeting is projected to take place in February 2004.

**EAST REGION**

**Connecticut  
Delaware  
Maine  
Massachusetts  
New Hampshire  
New Jersey  
New York  
Pennsylvania  
Rhode Island  
Vermont**

Region Chair:  
Ben Martinez, PA



The Eastern Region looks forward to the ICAOS Training session scheduled for March, 2004 in Florida. Commissioners and deputy compact administrators anticipate the incorporation of the ICAOS forms developed for probation and parole field staff and the Interstate Compact offices.

At the September Pennsylvania state council meeting, members reviewed the draft ICAOS rules and formulated Pennsylvania rule positions. The state council met in December 2003 and was provided with an overview of the National Commission meeting and rules of significant change. Regional interstate trainings are scheduled for Pennsylvania's sixty-five adult county probation departments to reinforce current interstate rules and provide staff with information on the National Commission. Judicial representatives from PA's Probation Advisory Committee and State Council are working to incorporate a professional training for criminal court judges. The PA District Attorney's Association is also interested in facilitating training for continuing legal education credits. The Deputy Compact Administrators will also conduct regional trainings for PA Board of Probation and Parole field and institutional parole staff.

New Compact legislation has been introduced in Massachusetts, but movement has stalled due to its added financial obligations. New Jersey's administration is actively selecting members for appointment to its state council.

**SOUTH REGION**

**Alabama  
Arkansas  
Georgia  
Kentucky  
Louisiana  
Maryland  
Mississippi  
Missouri  
North Carolina  
Oklahoma  
South Carolina  
Tennessee  
Texas  
Virginia  
West Virginia**

Region Chair:  
Joe Kuebler, GA



In 2003, the Southern Region met one time in conjunction with the annual meeting in Little Rock, Arkansas. Discussion surrounded updates from each state including the activity of each state council. Most notable was the activity of

the state of Missouri's state council. They met on several occasions during 2003 and even set up a meeting with the state of Kansas' state council to discuss mutual issues of concern. Several other states met at least twice and unfortunately, several states have yet to appoint councils. The south region contains two states that have not passed the new compact legislation, Mississippi and Virginia. Both of these states will hopefully pass the legislation in early 2004.

In 2004, the South Region will focus on training staff on the new compact rules, increasing activity of each state council and better communication among the states. It should also be noted that the south region will host the 2004 Annual ICAOS meeting in Atlanta, Georgia on October 25-27, 2004.

**CHAIR**

David Guntharp, AR

**VICE-CHAIR**

Harry Hageman, OH

**TREASURER**

Vacant

**REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVES**

*Midwest* • Ed Ligtenberg, SD

*West* • Leo Lucey, UT

*East* • Ben Martinez, PA

*South* • Joe Kuebler, GA

**VICTIMS ADVOCATE**

Pat Tuthill, FL

**COMMITTEE CHAIRS**

*Technology* • Joe Kuebler, GA

*Education* • Genie Powers, LA

*Rules* • Kathie Winckler, TX

*Finance* • Hazel Combs, KY

*Compliance* • Warren Emmer, ND

**CHAIR**

Warren Emmer, ND

**VICE-CHAIR**

Sherry Pilkington, NC

**MEMBERS**

Mike Ferriter, MT

Judith Sachwald, MD

Denis Agniel, MO

Vivian Williams, IL

Robert Sanders, KS

William Rankin, WI

Dave Nelsen, ID

Dennis Schrantz, MI

Leo Lucy, UT

Ken Merz, MN

Wayne Theriault, ME

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for guiding and overseeing the administration of all Commission activities and for acting on behalf of the Commission, as permitted by the Compact, during the interim between Commission meetings.

The Executive Committee oversees the day-to-day activities managed by the Executive Director and Interstate Commission staff. The committee's membership includes commission officers, regional representatives, chairs of standing committees and a victim's representative.

Since the committee formed at the first meeting, it is vigorously assisting in the activities of the commission. Committees are organized to address specific goals of the Commission and the national office is staffed and operational. The Commission has an agreement with the Council of State Governments to serve as secretariat and house the national office.

The Executive Committee meets regularly to receive reports from the standing committees and direct the course of the Commission.

---

**COMPLIANCE COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for monitoring compliance by member states with the terms of the Compact and the Commission's rules, and for developing appropriate enforcement procedures for the Commission's consideration.

The committee is working from the premise that all compacting states desire to be in compliance with the interstate compact. The Compliance Committee is also prepared to support the Commission's Executive Director and Executive Committee as they encounter states that are not in compliance.

To that end, the Compliance Committee prepared a protocol that enables the Commission to utilize this Committee as a resource when compacting states are not in compliance with the terms of the interstate compact. The protocol will not circumvent the rules adopted by the Commission; rather the Compliance Committee supports the work of the Commission.

The Compliance Committee supports a process whereby states are encouraged to resolve disputes among themselves before submitting a dispute to the Executive Director. If efforts to solve a dispute between states are unsuccessful, guidelines are in place for submitting a dispute to the Executive Director.

**CHAIR**

Joe Kuebler, GA

**VICE-CHAIR**

Vacant

**MEMBERS**

David Guntharp, AR

Leitoni Tupou, AL

Ron Hajime, HI

Harry Hageman, OH

**CHAIR**

Kathie Winckler, TX

**VICE-CHAIR**

Milt Gilliam, OK

**MEMBERS**

Harry Hageman, OH

Ben Martinez, PA

Tina Hayes, FL

Doreen Geiger, WA

A. T. Wall, RI

Jim Cosby, TN

Paul Quander, DC

Edward Mruzek, NY

Henry Lowery, WV

John D'Amico, NJ

Rick Masters, *Legal Counsel*

Jerry Vanderwalle, ND

*(Ex Officio)*

Pat Tuthill, FL *(Ex Officio)*

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for identifying and developing appropriate information technology resources to facilitate the tracking of offenders and the administration of Commission activities, and for developing recommendations for the Commission's consideration as appropriate.

In 2003, the Information Technology Committee approved the results of the Interstate Compact Information Management System Planning Project which was supported through a grant from the Bureau of Justice Assistance secured by the American Probation and Parole Association.

The report describes the capabilities and qualities needed in a management system. The entire report can be viewed on the Commission's website. The committee used the report to develop a "Request for Information and Proof of Concept" which will be disseminated to interested vendors in April 2004. The objective of the proposal is to seek information from vendors regarding best practices and solutions to implement a national information system that would effectively and efficiently facilitate the compact transfer process and monitor and track probation and parole cases transferring from state to state. Interested vendors will be asked to propose a working concept model at a conference to be held in June 2004. The Commission's intent is to select a vendor and negotiate terms and pricing for the development of the system.

---

**RULES COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for administering the Commission's rulemaking procedures, and for developing proposed rules for the Commission's consideration as appropriate.

The Rules Committee, one of the five standing committees of the Interstate Commission, met more than 15 times in 2003 by teleconference and in person. In the first year, the committee drafted rules covering the ten areas that are directed by the Interstate Compact.

The rules proposed by the Rules Committee were adopted, with some amendments, at the November 2003 meeting of the Interstate Commission. The rules, which will become effective on August 1, 2004, make significant advances in public safety and victim security. They create a seamless web of supervision of offenders and recognize the needs of victims to receive notice and be heard about the movements of offenders.

The Rules Committee, enlarged with three new members, will continue to meet in 2004 to clarify and refine existing rules as needed and to review and propose rules in areas not considered in 2003.



**CHAIR**

Genie Powers, LA

**VICE-CHAIR**

Ann Hyde, SC

**MEMBERS**

Alan Grinstead, DE

Pat Tuthill, FL

Mary Schamer, AZ

Lowell Brandt, IA

Wayne Theriault, ME

Jane Seigel, IN

Amy Wright, NV

Sharon Jackson, CA

**CHAIR**

Hazel Combs, KY

**VICE-CHAIR / TREASURER**

Vacant

**MEMBERS**

David Guntharp, AR

Jeaneene Miller, CO

Ed Ligtenberg, SD

Jackie Kotkin, VT

---

**TRAINING, EDUCATION & PUBLIC RELATIONS  
COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for developing educational resources and training materials for use in the member states to help ensure awareness of, and compliance with, the terms of the Compact and the Commission's rules.

The Committee's first year was spent developing and distributing a Commission newsletter, creating a promotional brochure, researching curriculums, and conducting a survey of states for training needs. The Chair, Co-Chair, Don Blackburn, and Rick Masters had a productive meeting with staff at the National Center for State Courts. A contract with the Center is being drafted that will provide outreach to the judiciary. This includes developing curriculums for judge's training, with access to the training via computer, access to the various judicial conferences, and developing a page on the Compact for the Judge's bench book.

Once the rules passed at the annual Commission meeting in November 2003, the Training Committee's work really began. Two education seminars are planned to train participants from each state on the new rules: March 14-16, 2004 in Scottsdale, Arizona for Western and Mid-Western states, and March 29-31, 2004 in Orlando, Florida for the Southern and Eastern states. Those attending the training will then return and train the necessary parties in their state on the new rules. Sub-committees are currently working on lesson plans and power point presentations for the new rules to ensure consistency in training, developing a master plan to train states that requested National Trainers, screening and selecting a core group of National Trainers, and researching how to use technology to bring the Compact to more people. The Training Committee, Commission staff, and trainers are determined to provide quality training on the new rules to as many of the signatory states and territories as possible by the end of calendar year 2004.

---

**FINANCE COMMITTEE**

*Mission*

Responsible for monitoring the Commission's budget and financial practices, including the collection and expenditure of Commission revenues, and for developing recommendations for the Commission's consideration as appropriate.

The Finance Committee is responsible for the oversight of the Commission's financial well being. The Finance Committee members met to discuss the proposed fiscal year 2005 budget, and were available to the Executive Committee for required explanation of expenditures. Each committee chairman submitted a line item budget to determine that monies are available to accomplish the established goal of their committee. The fiscal year 2005 budget was presented and adopted by the Commission at its Annual Meeting held November 2003. The Finance Committee monitors monthly expenditures of the Commission in an effort to ensure compliance and make recommended adjustments to the Executive Committee.

2003 Budget Audit:



PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
500 West Main Street  
Suite 1800  
Louisville KY 40202-4264  
Telephone (502) 589 6100  
Facsimile (502) 585 7875

**Report of Independent Auditors**

To the Governing Board  
The Council of State Governments

In our opinion, the accompanying statements of financial position and the related statements of activities and changes in net assets and of cash flows present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Council of State Governments (the Council) at June 30, 2003 and 2002, and changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Council's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America which require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Audits include examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements taken as a whole. The supplemental information included on page 11 through 14 is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audits of the financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

*PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP*

December 18, 2003

2003 Budget Audit  
Continued:The Council of State Governments  
Schedule of Due to Managed Organizations  
June 30, 2003 and 2002

## Schedule 4

	2003	2002
National Lieutenant Governors' Association	\$ 156,905	\$ 83,608
BOWHAY Institute of Legislative Leaders Development	161,257	179,760
National Association of Government Labor Officials	48,849	41,407
Midwestern Governors' Conference	106,496	187,230
National Association of State Facilities Administrators	96,065	73,768
National Association of State Facilities Admin. - Southeast Region	1,024	2,956
National Association of State Facilities Admin. - Western Region	8,850	8,221
National Association of State Facilities Admin. - Eastern Region	2,236	2,221
Southern Legislative Conference - Host State Accounts	76,572	237,602
CSG - West	153,329	111,669
National Association of State Telecommunication Directors (NASTD)	410,899	396,333
NASTD - Eastern Region	38,471	32,728
NASTD - Midwest Region	44,754	31,459
NASTD - Western Region	14,335	18,696
NASTD - Southern Region	81,162	24,147
Southern Legislative Conference	57,525	408,667
Southern Governors' Association	823,794	854,894
National Association of State Treasurers (NAST)	483,084	590,506
NAST - Midwest State Treasurers	10,607	52,335
NAST - Southern State Treasurers	50,844	40,136
NAST - Western State Treasurers	8,228	6,771
NAST - Northeast State Treasurers	44,214	3,593
NAST - College Savings Plan Network	188,029	290,321
NAST - State Debt Management Network	27,281	38,665
NAST - Chicago 2000	-	28,423
American Probation and Parole Association	-	35,772
National Emergency Management Association	353,355	78,430
National Association of State Personnel Executives	264,473	192,189
National Association of State Election Directors	63,520	30,381
21st Century Fund	250,308	243,233
Midwestern Legislative Conference	513,492	493,408
Eastern Trade Council	113,051	251,117
Eastern Regional Conference	84,241	153,160
National Association of Unclaimed Property	115,710	272,128
State International Development Organization	67,937	85,750
National Hispanic Caucus of State Legislators	260,872	146,183
Western Legislative Academy	42,710	25,000
Emergency Management Accreditation Program	63,682	1,497
Legislators' Forum	-	9,056
Interstate Commission on Adult Offender Supervision	255,228	-
	<u>\$ 5,543,389</u>	<u>\$ 5,763,420</u>
Total due to managed organizations		

Dues Assessment:

Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision  
State Dues Assessment - FY05

State	Projected Dues per State <sup>1</sup>	State Dues Ratio <sup>2</sup>	State Population <sup>3</sup>	U.S. Population <sup>3</sup>	State Offender Transactions <sup>4</sup>	U.S. Offender Transactions <sup>4</sup>
Northern Marianas (a)	\$18,000	0.000150	43000	285230516	35	234085
American Samoa (a)	\$18,000	0.000160	46000	285230516	37	234085
U.S. Virgin Islands (a)	\$18,000	0.000356	102000	285230516	83	234085
Guam (a)	\$18,000	0.000464	133000	285230516	108	234085
Alaska	\$18,000	0.002257	626932	285230516	542	234085
Wyoming	\$18,000	0.002559	493782	285230516	793	234085
North Dakota	\$18,000	0.003206	642200	285230516	974	234085
Vermont	\$18,000	0.003293	608827	285230516	1042	234085
South Dakota (b)	\$18,000	0.003662	754844	285230516	1095	234085
Maine	\$18,000	0.003687	1274923	285230516	680	234085
New Hampshire (b)	\$18,000	0.004067	1235786	285230516	890	234085
Rhode Island	\$18,000	0.004200	1048319	285230516	1106	234085
Hawaii	\$18,000	0.004249	1211537	285230516	995	234085
Montana	\$18,000	0.004337	902195	285230516	1290	234085
Delaware	\$18,000	0.004338	783600	285230516	1388	234085
Idaho	\$18,000	0.004953	1293953	285230516	1257	234085
West Virginia	\$18,000	0.005554	1808344	285230516	1116	234085
Dist. of Columbia (b)	\$18,000	0.005725	572059	285230516	2211	234085
Nebraska	\$18,000	0.005830	1711263	285230516	1325	234085
Utah	\$18,000	0.005901	2233169	285230516	930	234085
New Mexico	\$18,000	0.007157	1819046	285230516	1858	234085
Nevada	\$25,000	0.009746	1998257	285230516	2923	234085
Kansas	\$25,000	0.009959	2688418	285230516	2456	234085
Iowa	\$25,000	0.010651	2926324	285230516	2585	234085
Mississippi	\$25,000	0.010668	2844658	285230516	2660	234085
Oregon	\$25,000	0.011248	3421399	285230516	2458	234085
Connecticut	\$25,000	0.011250	3405565	285230516	2472	234085
Arkansas	\$25,000	0.012090	2673400	285230516	3466	234085
Puerto Rico (a)	\$25,000	0.013257	3808610	285230516	3081	234085
Oklahoma	\$25,000	0.014729	3450654	285230516	4064	234085
Kentucky	\$25,000	0.014864	4041769	285230516	3642	234085
Colorado	\$25,000	0.014922	4301261	285230516	3456	234085
South Carolina	\$25,000	0.015931	4012012	285230516	4166	234085
Alabama	\$25,000	0.016621	4447100	285230516	4132	234085
Indiana (b)	\$25,000	0.016725	6080485	285230516	2840	234085
Washington	\$25,000	0.017050	5894121	285230516	3145	234085
Arizona	\$25,000	0.017079	5130632	285230516	3785	234085
Massachusetts (b)	\$25,000	0.017405	6349097	285230516	2938	234085
Tennessee	\$25,000	0.017614	5689283	285230516	3577	234085
Louisiana	\$25,000	0.018275	4468976	285230516	4888	234085
Minnesota	\$25,000	0.018665	4919479	285230516	4701	234085
Wisconsin	\$25,000	0.018668	5363675	285230516	4338	234085

Dues Assessment  
Continued:

Interstate Compact for Adult Offender Supervision  
State Dues Assessment - FY05

State	Projected Dues per State <sup>1</sup>	State Dues Ratio <sup>2</sup>	State Population <sup>3</sup>	U.S. Population <sup>3</sup>	State Offender Transactions <sup>4</sup>	U.S. Offender Transactions <sup>4</sup>
Maryland	\$32,000	0.021496	5296486	285230516	5717	234085
New Jersey	\$32,000	0.024148	8414350	285230516	4400	234085
Michigan	\$32,000	0.025457	9938444	285230516	3762	234085
North Carolina	\$32,000	0.028784	8049313	285230516	6870	234085
Ohio	\$32,000	0.029452	11353140	285230516	4471	234085
Missouri	\$32,000	0.029649	5595211	285230516	9289	234085
Pennsylvania	\$32,000	0.031196	12281054	285230516	4526	234085
Georgia	\$32,000	0.032677	8186453	285230516	8580	234085
Virginia	\$32,000	0.035263	7078515	285230516	10700	234085
Florida	\$39,000	0.047751	15982378	285230516	9239	234085
New York	\$39,000	0.053217	18976457	285230516	9341	234085
Illinois	\$39,000	0.054220	12419293	285230516	15192	234085
Texas	\$46,000	0.088867	20851820	285230516	24492	234085
California	\$46,000	0.114864	33871648	285230516	25978	234085

\$1,400,000

1 - Based on total projected operating budget

2 - (State population / U.S. Population) \* (State Offender Transactions / Total U.S. Offender Transactions) / 2

3 - Population data; U.S. Dept. of Commerce & U.S. Census Bureau; Census 2000

4 - Compact populations as of April 1, 2002; annual number of offender transactions both into and out of the state

(a) - Territory data is projected based on an average state offender transaction to population ratio (1:1236)

(b) - Projected state transfer numbers; actual numbers not available



# ICAOS

...Ensuring Public Safety for the 21st Century



The Interstate Compact for the Supervision of Adult Offenders is a cooperative effort on the behalf of states to enter into an agreement for mutual assistance in the prevention of crime as enabled by congress in the Crime Control Act, 4 U.S.C. Section 112 (1965).

The Compact's purpose is to provide the framework for the promotion of public safety and protect the rights of victims through the control and regulation of the interstate movement of offenders in the community; to provide for the effective tracking, supervision and rehabilitation of these offenders by the sending and receiving states; and to equitably distribute the costs, benefits and obligations of the compact among the compact states.

The Interstate Compact has created an Interstate Commission which establishes a uniform procedure to manage the movement between states of adults placed under community supervision and released to the community under the jurisdiction of courts, paroling authorities, corrections or other criminal justice agencies which will promulgate rules to achieve the purpose of this compact.

The Commission has entered into an agreement with the Council of State Governments to host the national offices of the Commission and act as secretariat to the Commission.